



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,058	12/13/2001	Mingxian Huang	ART-00106.P.1.1	5669

24232 7590 03/23/2005

DAVID R PRESTON & ASSOCIATES
12625 HIGH BLUFF DRIVE
SUITE 205
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130

EXAMINER

LAM, ANN Y

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1641

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/022,058	Applicant(s) HUANG ET AL.	
	Examiner Ann Y. Lam	Art Unit 1641	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on December 27, 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 36-94 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/19/02</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

4/1

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on December 27, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Group I and Group II are connected by a single, searchable unifying relationship, namely a platform that comprises a biochip, the platform having a surface, a coating film, and a channel. This is not found persuasive because the search for the platform does not require a search for the method of making the platform, such as a search for the step of contacting the surface with a polymerizable composition comprising an unpolymerized polymer subunits and a polymerization initiator.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 36-94 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

Claim Objections

Claim 26 is objected to because of the following informalities: "comprises" should be --comprise--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, recites a surface, a coating film, and a channel structure. However, claim 1 is vague because it is not clear as to the structural relationship between the surface, coating film, and channel structure. (For example, where is the surface located in relation to the coating film and channel structure? Is it beneath the coating film defining the channel structure, etc.?) Also, is the microchip a part of the platform or not? Also, a film cannot be composed of a single particle as recited in the last line of the claim.

Claim 35 claims that the device further comprises a magnetic element, an electromagnetic element, an acoustic element or a dielectric element. However, claim 35 is vague because it is not clear as to the structural relationship between, for example, the magnetic element, and the claimed surface, coating film, and channel structure. (For example, is the magnetic element in the surface? And is the surface beneath the coating film? And does the surface and coating film define the channel?)

Claim 32, line 1, recites "said channel structures". There is insufficient antecedent basis in the claim for this limitation. (Claim 1, from which claim 32 depends,

recite only one channel structure. It appears that "channel structures" should be –
channel structure--.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
Pourahmadi et al., 6,440,725, in view of Foster, 5,485,277.

Pourahmadi et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, except for the
coating film comprising a particle.

Pourahmadi et al. discloses the invention substantially as follows. Pourahmadi et
al. discloses a platform comprising:

a surface (i.e., surfaces of chamber 26, e.g., 22 or 24, see col. 24, lines
57-58) (or alternatively, 88);

a coating film (i.e., coating of a substance, such as polymers, having high
binding affinity with a target analyte, col. 24, lines 59-63);

a channel structure (26, see fig. 6, and col. 24, line 58);

wherein said coating film defines in part said channel structure (26, see fig. 6, and col. 24, line 58;

wherein said platform comprises a microchip (col. 2, lines 58-63).

As to claim 2, the surface (e.g., 22 or 24) comprise at least in part glass or polymer (col. 22, line 53).

As to claim 3, the surface comprises an acoustic element (i.e., ultrasonic transducer, 88, col. 33, lines 57-58.)

As to claim 6, the coating film comprises a polymer (col. 24, line 61.)

As to claim 7, the coating film comprises a hydrophobic polymer or a hydrophilic polymer (col. 24, line 62).

As to claim 9, the coating film is biocompatible (col. 24, lines 59-60).

As to claim 12, the coating film comprises at least in part a biological group (e.g., nucleic acid, col. 25, lines 21-22).

As to claim 13, the biological group is a nucleic acid (col. 25, lines 21-22).

As to claim 14, the biological group (i.e., nucleic acid, col. 25, lines 21-22) is capable of interacting with a biological moiety or chemical moiety by electrostatic interactions, ionic interactions, hydrogen bonding or hydrophobic interactions (col. 25, lines 21-22).

As to claim 15, the biological group interacts with a biological moiety by nucleic acid-nucleic acid interactions (col. 25, lines 21-22).

As to claims 16 and 20, the biological group is present substantially throughout said coating film or on the surface of said coating film (col. 25, lines 21-22).

As to claim 17, the coating film comprises at least in part a chemical group (col. 25, lines 21-22).

As to claim 18, the chemical group comprises at least in part an alkyl group, a charged group, or small molecules or combinations thereof (col. 25, lines 21-22.)

As to claim 19, the chemical group (i.e., nucleic acid, col. 25, lines 21-22, or alternatively, antibody, see col. 17, line 39) is capable of interacting with a chemical moiety or biological moiety by electrostatic interactions, ionic interactions, hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic interactions or covalent linking.

As to claim 28, the channel structure (26) comprise open channels or closed channels (see fig. 6.)

As to claim 29, at least a portion of said channel structure (26) is defined by said surface (22, see fig. 6).

As to claim 30, at least a portion of said channel structure is defined by said coating film (col. 24, lines 58-60).

As to claim 32, the channel structures (26) form at least one island (see fig. 6).

As to claim 33, said channel structure (26) has a shape in cross section that is substantially rectangular (see fig. 6.)

As to claim 34, the channel structure is linear (see fig. 6.)

As to claim 35, the device further comprises an acoustic element (i.e., ultrasonic transducer, 88, col. 33, lines 57-58), or magnetic element (i.e., magnetic beads (col. 18, line 43.)

Pourahmadi et al. teaches that an antibody (col. 17, line 39) or nucleic acid (col. 25, lines 21-22) can be immobilized on the polymer support for binding to an analyte (col. 24, lines 59-61). However, Pourahmadi et al. does not teach that the coating film comprises a particle. The teachings of Pourahmadi et al. in combination with Foster however teaches a coating film comprising a particle.

Foster teaches that a cross-linked polymer film of polyvinyl alcohol can be used to immobilize ligands such as antibodies and nucleic acids for diagnostic assay purposes (col. 12, line 5-21.) Foster teaches that such polymers provide the advantage of containing a large amount of water, and are soft and bioinert (col. 12, lines 6-7.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a cross-linked polymer, such as cross-linked polyvinyl alcohol, as taught by Foster, as the polymer substrate generally taught by Pourahmadi et al. because Foster teaches that such a polymer provides the advantage of containing a large amount of water, and are soft and bioinert, as would be desirable for immobilizing ligands, such as antibodies and nucleic acids, for binding to an analyte.

Thus, with respect to claims 1 and 21, the cross-linked polymer disclosed by Foster comprises a particle imbedded in the coating film as claimed by Applicant.

As to claim 8, the coating film comprises polyvinyl alcohol (col. 12, line 8.)

As to claim 23, the particles comprise a polymer (i.e., cross-linked polyvinyl alcohol, col. 12, line 8.)

As to claim 25, the particles are biocompatible (col. 12, line 8.)

As to claims 26 and 27, the particles comprise at least in part a biological group or chemical group (i.e., immobilized ligand (see for example col. 12, lines 17-21.)

As to claim 31, the channel structure can be formed by selective polymerization of the coating film.

Also, neither Pourahmadi et al. nor Foster disclose the dimension of the surface length or width or thickness as claimed by Applicant (in claims 4, 5, 10 and 11), nor that the particles comprise between about 0.1% and about 99.9 % volume of the polymer coating (claim 22), nor the size of the particle as claimed by Applicant. However, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. In this case, Pourahmadi et al. in view of Foster disclose the general conditions of the claim, and the ranges in dimensions as claimed by Applicant are optimum or workable ranges and thus involve only routine skill in the art according to *In re Aller*.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bashir et al., 6,716,620, discloses a biochip with chambers with electro-magnets adjacent the chamber that trap magnetic beads in the chamber.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann Y. Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-0822. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Sat 11-6:00.

Art Unit: 1641

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.L.



CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1641
3/20/05